

Partners at Care Transitions:



Exploring healthcare professionals' perspectives of excellence at care transitions for older people

Ruth Baxter, Jane O'Hara, Jenni Murray, Laura Sheard, Alison Cracknell, Robbie Foy, John Wight and Rebecca Lawton.

Bradford Institute for Health Research, University of Leeds, Leeds Teaching Hospitals

Background: As many as one in five patients experience an adverse event during transitions from hospital to home and this period is particularly risky for older people with complex needs. Research predominantly focuses on errors and harm and so little is known about factors that facilitate safe outcomes at transitions.

<u>Aim</u>: To explore how staff within high performing general practices and hospital specialties successfully deliver safe care to older people and demonstrate resilience during transitions from hospital to home.

Methods: 30-day emergency readmission data for patients aged 75yrs+ were analysed to identify 6 general practices and 4 hospital specialties demonstrating low or reducing readmission rates over time. 157 multidisciplinary staff participated in 20 focus groups, 12 interviews, and brief observations of 9 ward-based meetings. Pen portraits for each participating team were analysed thematically.

Findings: 8 themes were generated across primary and secondary care. Similarities and differences in how primary and secondary care teams ensured safe transitions are highlighted below.

Patient engagement and expectations

Staff involved patients and families in different ways. Hospitals gave and received information to understand holistic situations and to prepare patients. Primary care staff educated patients on self management and service navigation. Staff overcame unrealistic patient expectations by having honest conversations, and involvement was facilitated by relationships between staff and patients.

Coping with an inadequate system

Hospital staff generally considered discharge to be effective but they **buffered** against inadequacies, for example, by signposting and getting collective responsibility. Primary care staff responded to a wholly inadequate system by **chasing** information and using **intrinsic patient knowledge**. For extremely complex patients, staff **bridge gaps** between teams. **Understanding others' roles and responsibilities** facilitated team handovers.

Having a shared plan

Having a shared plan was important to all teams, but these plans were rarely shared across settings. Hospital staff were all aware of discharge plans and priorities which included multidisciplinary perspectives. Primary care valued staff multidisciplinary discussion to raise concerns, get advice, and agree actions. In both settings, shared plans were facilitated by relationships and **proximity**.









Implications: It may be possible to use these study findings to help generate improvements at a service level, however, further research is required to explore whether these behaviours improve outcomes of care, and how they can be spread to other teams. Predominantly, staff demonstrated resilience to overcome system level problems, therefore, changes are also required at an organisation and policy level to support staff to deliver safe transitional care to older people.

This is independent research funded by the National Institute for Health Research Programme Grants for Applied Health Research, Partners at Care Transitions (PACT): Improving patient experience and safety at transitions in care, RP-PG-1214-20017. The views expressed in this publication are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the NHS, the National Institute for Health. The research is supported by the NIHR CLAHRC Yorkshire and Humber. www.clahrc-yh.nihr.ac.uk



For more information please contact : Ruth.Baxter@bthft.nhs.uk, visit our website: www.yqsr.org/projects/pact/, or follow us on twitter @PACT_YQSR

